arXiv:hep-th/0106211v1 23 Jun 2001

Poisson-Lie Duality in the String Effective Action

A. Bossard[1] and N. Mohammedi[2]

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique[3]
Université Francois Rabelais
Faculté des Sciences et Techniques
Parc de Grandmont
F-37200 Tours - France.

Abstract

The symmetry properties of the bosonic string effective action under Poisson-Lie duality transformations are investigated. A convenient and simple formulation of these duality transformations is found, that allows the reduction of the string effective action in a Kaluza-Klein framework. It is shown that the action is invariant provided that the two Lie algebras, forming the Drinfeld double, have traceless structure constants. Finally, a functional relation is found between the Weyl anomaly coefficients of the original and dual non-linear sigma models.

---

[1] e-mail: `bossard@celfi.phys.univ-tours.fr`
[2] e-mail: `nouri@celfi.phys.univ-tours.fr`
[3] CNRS UMR 6083

1 Introduction

Duality transformations have played an important role in modern string theories. Target space duality (T-duality), in particular, connects seemingly different backgrounds in which the strings can propagate [1]. String backgrounds and their dual fields can be considered as different descriptions of the same physical system. In other words, they represent the same point in moduli space of a given string theory. It is therefore crucial to analyse the different forms of T-duality in order to better understand the moduli space features of string theory.

The T-duality transformations (unlike S-duality) are formulated at the level of the two-dimensional non-linear sigma model. There are, however, no general methods for constructing these transformations. One of the leading organizing principle in the first studies of T-duality is undoubtedly the notion of symmetries. If the background fields of the sigma model possess Abelian isometries then it has been shown [2] that obtaining of the dual theory is straightforward: One gauges these symmetries and imposes zero curvature constraints on the gauge fields by means of Lagrange multipliers. The elimination of the gauge fields through their equations of motion, after a convenient gauge fixing, leads to the dual sigma model. The Lagrange multipliers are promoted to propagating fields in this dual theory. An important feature of the Abelian case is the possibility to reverse this procedure and obtain back the original model from the dual one. This is due to the fact that Abelian T-duality preserves the symmetries of the original sigma model. For sigma models with background fields possessing non-Abelian isometries an exact analogue of the above method has been shown to apply as well [3]. However, the symmetries of the original theory are not preserved and non-Abelian T-duality is not reversible [4]. The formulation of these two kind of T-dualities in terms of canonical transformations [5, 6] is a check on the classical equivalence of a sigma model and its dual.

A major step in the search for criteria to find duality transformations connecting non-linear sigma models was made by Klimcik and Severa [7]. It deals with sigma models based on two Lie groups and the corresponding duality transformations are known as Poisson-Lie T-duality. Their method relies no longer on the presence of symmetries in the backgrounds of the sigma model. It uses, instead, a very specific feature of duality: Namely, the interchange between the equations of motion of the original theory and the Bianchi identities of the dual model. They discovered that two non-linear sigma models, built on two Lie groups, are dual to each other when the two corresponding Lie algebras form a Drinfeld double. A further step towards the understanding of the quantum aspects of Poisson-Lie duality has been taken in [8]. There a path integral formulation of this duality is presented and more importantly the transformation of the dilaton field is found. It is worth noticing that Abelian and non-Abelian dualities are particular cases of Poisson-Lie T-duality. The reversibility of Poisson-Lie duality transformations is explicit in this construction and resolves, therefore, the problem encountered in the case of non-Abelian duality.

It is not sufficient, however, to formulate duality transformations between non-linear sigma models at the classical level. A treatment of these dualities at the quantum level must be carried out. This is the only way to find out whether the two string theories corresponding to these two sigma models are equivalent. If the quantum aspects of Abelian duality are by now well understood, the situation regarding non-Abelian duality has remained, until recently [9], unclear. Its study has revealed some intriguing issues: It was noticed in [10] that the beta functions of two particular sigma models related by non-Abelian duality are not the same and the two models are, thus, quantum mecanically inequivalent. A global investigation of the origin of this problem has been carried out in [11]. There, it has been shown that the original model and its dual are inequivalent, whenever the structure constants of the Lie algebra, generated by the Killing vectors, have non-vanishing traces. Subsequently, it has been explicitly shown [12], using supersymmetry as a computational tool, that, if the original sigma model is conformally invariant, then its dual has vanishing Weyl anomaly coefficients too. More recently, the functional relation (first investigated in [13] for Abelian duality) between the Weyl anomaly coefficients of the original model and its dual, under both Abelian and non-Abelian dualities, has been formally proven [14]. This is found by using, at the level of the sigma model, a formal path integral procedure to implement Abelian and non-Abelian dualities. The desire to give an independant derivation of this functional relation by a less formal approach has motivated our previous paper [9]. Our strategy has been inspired firstly, by the reduction of the string effective action in the presence of isometries [15, 16, 17] and secondly by interesting investigations regarding T-duality beyond the one loop level [18, 19]. Our central tool in showing the equivalence of the two string effective actions corresponding to the original sigma model and to its dual under non-Abelian duality, has been the use of Kaluza-Klein decomposition of the different string backgrounds. This allows for a much simpler formulation of non-Abelian T-duality transformations. We have shown that a functional relation holds between the Weyl anomaly coefficients of the two models regardless of the conformal properties of the original theory.

The aim of this paper is to carry out a quantum analysis of Poisson-Lie T-duality. This duality is a canonical transformation and two sigma models related by Poisson-Lie duality are, therefore, equivalent at the classical level [20, 21]. In the literature, only few examples of sigma models related by Poisson-Lie duality have been treated at the quantum level [22, 23, 24]. However, no studies exist for a general Poisson-Lie dualizable sigma model. This problem will be tackeled here at the one loop level. We will employ the techniques developed in [9], in the case of non-Abelian duality, to examine the behaviour of the string effective action under Poisson-Lie duality. This treatment is valid for both critical and non-critical bosonic strings. The use of Kaluza-Klein decompositions of the string backgrounds is essential here.

The outline of this paper is as follows: In section 2 we recall the origin of the Poisson-Lie T-duality transformations at the level of the non-linear sigma model and introduce our notations. In order to cast Poisson-Lie duality transformations in a symmetrical form, we are naturally led to the introduction of “intermediate” background fields. A Kaluza-Klein reparametrization of the different backgrounds involved in the analysis, enables us to find a simple form for the Poisson-Lie duality transformations. The use of this decomposition in the reduction of the low energy effective action of string theory is the subject of section 3. It is shown that a sufficient condition for the invariance, under Poisson-Lie duality, of the reduced string effective action, is the vanishing of the traces of the structure constants of each Lie algebra constituting the Drinfeld double. In section 4 we explain how our results concerning the invariance of the string effective action invariance, combined with the calculations made in [9], can be used to extract the expected relations between the Weyl anomaly coefficients of the original model and those corresponding to its dual. To illustrate our results, we apply our formalism to two explicit examples in section 5. Finally, in section 6, we present our conclusions and sketch possible developments of this work.

Useful identities and the details of the computation are left to an appendix.

2 Poisson-Lie Duality

In this section we recall the main features of the Poisson-Lie T-duality at the level of the sigma model and introduce new redefinitions to make the duality transformations more symmetrical. Poisson-Lie duality is based on a Drinfeld double $\mathcal{D}$ corresponding to two Lie algebras $\mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The generators of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are denoted respectively T_a and $\tilde{T}^a$ and satisfy the commutation relations

$$[T_a, T_b] = f^c_{ab} T_c, \qquad\qquad [\tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}^{ab}_c \tilde{T}^c,$$

$$[T_a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}^{bc}_a T_c - f^b_{ac} \tilde{T}^c. \tag{2.1}$$

The structure constants f^a_{bc} and $\tilde{f}^{bc}_a$ are subject to the Jacobi identities

$$f^e_{ab} \tilde{f}^{cd}_e = f^c_{ea} \tilde{f}^{de}_b - f^d_{ea} \tilde{f}^{ce}_b - f^c_{eb} \tilde{f}^{de}_a + f^d_{eb} \tilde{f}^{ce}_a \ . \tag{2.2}$$

The Drinfeld double $\mathcal{D}$ is equipped with an invariant inner product $<,>$ with the following properties

$$< T_a, T_b > = < \tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b > = 0 \ , \quad < T_a, \tilde{T}^b > = \delta^b_a \ , \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$< l T_A l^{-1}, T_B > = < T_A, l^{-1} T_B l > \ , \tag{2.4}$$

where T_A stands for T_a or $\tilde{T}^a$ and l is an element of the Lie group D corresponding to $\mathcal{D}$. The following definitions are also needed

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1} T_a g &= a(g)_a{}^b T_b \ , \\ g^{-1} \tilde{T}^a g &= b(g)^{ab} T_b + a^{-1}(g)_b{}^a \tilde{T}^b \ , \\ \Pi(g)^{ab} &= b(g)^{ca} a(g)_c{}^b \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where g is an element of the Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

The original sigma model is defined by the action

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d\sigma d\bar{\sigma} \Big[& P_{\mu\nu} \partial x^\mu \bar{\partial} x^\nu + P^{(1)}_{a\nu} (g^{-1}\partial g)^a \bar{\partial} x^\nu + P^{(2)}_{\mu b} \partial x^\mu (g^{-1}\bar{\partial} g)^b \\ & + E_{ab} (g^{-1}\partial g)^a (g^{-1}\bar{\partial} g)^b - \frac{1}{4} R^{(2)} \varphi \Big] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $R^{(2)}$ is the curvature of the worldsheet. Since the background fields $(P, P^{(1)}, P^{(2)}, E, \varphi)$ in this action depend a priori on the group elements g, the model, in general, has no isometries. This lack of symmetry is typical of Poisson-Lie duality. The backgrounds appearing in this action are given in matrix notation by [8, 25]

$$\begin{aligned} P = \tilde{F} - \tilde{F}^{(2)} \Pi E \tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{F}^{(1)}, \quad P^{(1)} = E \tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{F}^{(1)}, \quad P^{(2)} = \tilde{F}^{(2)} \tilde{Q}^{-1} E, \\ E = (\tilde{Q}^{-1} + \Pi)^{-1}, \quad \varphi = \tilde{\varphi}_0 - \ln\det \tilde{Q}^{-1} + \ln\det E \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The matrices $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}^{(1)}, \tilde{F}^{(2)}, \tilde{Q})$ and the scalar field $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ are all functions of the variables x^μ only.

The dual sigma model is given by

$$\tilde{S} = \int d\sigma d\bar{\sigma} \Big[\tilde{P}_{\mu\nu} \partial x^\mu \bar{\partial} x^\nu + \tilde{P}^{(1)a}{}_{\nu} (\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial\tilde{g})_a \bar{\partial} x^\nu + \tilde{P}^{(2)}_{\mu}{}^{b} \partial x^\mu (\tilde{g}^{-1}\bar{\partial}\tilde{g})_b \\ + \tilde{E}^{ab} (\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial\tilde{g})_a (\tilde{g}^{-1}\bar{\partial}\tilde{g})_b - \frac{1}{4} R^{(2)} \tilde{\varphi} \Big] \ , \tag{2.8}$$

where $\tilde{g}$ is an element of the Lie group corresponding to $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The backgrounds of the dual theory are related to those of the original one by

$$\tilde{P} = \tilde{F} - \tilde{F}^{(2)} \tilde{E} \tilde{F}^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{P}^{(1)} = \tilde{E} \tilde{F}^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{P}^{(2)} = -\tilde{F}^{(2)} \tilde{E}, \\ \tilde{E} = (\tilde{Q} + \tilde{\Pi})^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}_0 + \ln\det \tilde{E} \ , \tag{2.9}$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}(\tilde{g})_{ab} = \tilde{b}(\tilde{g})_{ca} \tilde{a}(\tilde{g})^c{}_b$ is defined as in (2.5) by replacing untilded quantities by tilded ones and vice versa.

The transformations for the two dilatons φ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ have been obtained in [8] by quantum considerations. These calculations were based on a regularization of a functional determinant in a path integral formulation of Poisson-Lie duality. This is consistent with previous transformations of the dilaton obtained for Abelian and non-Abelian T-dualities [26].

Notice, however, that the Poisson-Lie duality transformations (2.7) and (2.9) are not explicitly symmetric. Namely, one would like to pass from one group of relations to the other simply by replacing untilded symbols by tilded ones and vice versa. A remedy to this can be found by introducing the following quantities

$$Q = \tilde{Q}^{-1} \ , \ F^{(1)} = Q \tilde{F}^{(1)} \ , \ F^{(2)} = -\tilde{F}^{(2)} Q \ , \\ F = \tilde{F} - \tilde{F}^{(2)} Q \tilde{F}^{(1)} \ , \ \varphi_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_0 + \ln\det Q \ . \tag{2.10}$$

In terms of these new tensors, the backgrounds of the original theory are given by

$$P = F - F^{(2)} E F^{(1)}, \quad P^{(1)} = E F^{(1)}, \quad P^{(2)} = -F^{(2)} E, \\ E = (Q + \Pi)^{-1}, \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 + \ln\det E \ . \tag{2.11}$$

One sees, indeed, that the backgrounds of the dual (2.9) are now obtained from (2.11) by simply replacing untilded quantities by tilded ones. This becomes a crucial point when dealing with the string effective actions corresponding to the two sigma models. Notice that if one takes a dual Abelian group ($\tilde{f}^{ab}_c = 0$) then one finds

$$\Pi^{ab} = 0 \ , \tilde{\Pi}_{ab} = y_c f^c_{ab} \ , \tag{2.12}$$

where y_a are local coordinates characterizing the group element g. We have in this case

$$\tilde{E}^{ab} = (E_{ab} + y_c f^c_{ab})^{-1} \ , \tag{2.13}$$

recovering thus the usual non-Abelian duality. Similarly, choosing the original group to be Abelian leads then to a symmetric version of non-Abelian duality. It is amusing to notice that the redefinitions (2.10) have exactly the same form as the Abelian T-duality transformations relating a sigma model with backgrounds $(F, F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}, Q, \varphi_0)$ to its dual with backgrounds $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}^{(1)}, \tilde{F}^{(2)}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{\varphi}_0)$. Furthermore, the expressions in (2.11) are of the same form as non-Abelian T-duality transformations except that the Π^{ab} term replaces the $y^c \tilde{f}_c^{ab}$ of non-Abelian duality.

Since the expressions of the backgrounds of the original and dual theories are now explicitly symmetric under the exchange of tilded and untilded quantities, we will deal only with the original theory in what follows. In order to address the question of the invariance of the string effective action under Poisson-Lie duality transformations, we need to rewrite the action (2.6) in the usual standard form

$$S = \int d\sigma d\bar{\sigma} \Big[(G_{MN} + B_{MN}) \partial X^M \bar{\partial} X^N - \frac{1}{4} R^{(2)} \varphi \Big] \ , \tag{2.14}$$

where $X^M = (x^\mu, y^i)$ and y^i are local coordinates on the group manifold corresponding to $\mathcal{G}$. The metric G_{MN}, the antisymmetric tensor B_{MN} and the dilaton φ are then easily identified. The vielbeins are introduced through $(g^{-1}\partial g)^a = e_i^a(y) \partial y^i$ and verify

$$\partial_i e_j^a - \partial_j e_i^a = -f_{bc}^a e_i^b e_j^c \ . \tag{2.15}$$

In analogy with the non-Abelian duality case [9] we use a Kaluza-Klein decomposition of the different backgrounds. In this decomposition, the Poisson-Lie duality transformations take a simpler form. It is convenient for this purpose to introduce the following notations

$$E_{ab} = S_{ab} + A_{ab} \ , \ Q^{ab} = (S_0)^{ab} + (v_0)^{ab} \ , (A_0)^{ab} = (v_0)^{ab} + \Pi^{ab} \ , \tag{2.16}$$

where S_{ab} and $(S_0)^{ab}$ are symmetric while A_{ab}, $(v_0)^{ab}$, $(A_0)^{ab}$ and Π^{ab} are antisymmetric. We start by introducing the "intermediate" backgrounds as follows: A symmetric part given by

$$\begin{aligned} (G_0)_{MN} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} F_{(\mu\nu)} & \frac{1}{2}({F^{(2)}}^a_\mu + {F^{(1)}}^a_\mu) \\ \frac{1}{2}({F^{(2)}}^a_\mu + {F^{(1)}}^a_\mu) & Q^{(ab)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + (S_0)^{ab} t_{\mu a} t_{\nu b} & t_{\mu a}(S_0)^{ab} \\ t_{\mu a}(S_0)^{ab} & (S_0)^{ab} \end{pmatrix} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

and an antisymmetric part

$$\begin{aligned} (B_0)_{MN} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} F_{[\mu\nu]} & \frac{1}{2}({F^{(2)}}^a_\mu - {F^{(1)}}^a_\mu) \\ -\frac{1}{2}({F^{(2)}}^a_\mu - {F^{(1)}}^a_\mu) & Q^{[ab]} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(t_{\mu a} u_\nu^a - t_{\nu a} u_\mu^a) & u_\mu^a \\ -u_\mu^a & (v_0)^{ab} \end{pmatrix} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Notice that all the components here are functions of the coordinates x^μ only.

The above decomposition allows one to reparametrize the metric G_{MN} as

$$G_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + h_{ij} V_\mu^i V_\nu^j & V_\mu^i h_{ij} \\ V_\mu^i h_{ij} & h_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{2.19}$$

while the antisymmetric tensor B_{MN} can be decomposed as

$$B_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(V_\mu^k B_{\nu k} - V_\nu^k B_{\mu k}) & B_{\mu i} \\ -B_{\mu i} & b_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V^k_\mu &= \Big[t_{\mu a}(A_0)^{ab} - u^b_\mu\Big](e^{-1})^k_b \ , \\
B_{\mu k} &= -\Big[t_{\mu a}(S_0)^{ab}S_{bc} + u^a_\mu A_{ac}\Big]e^c_k \ , \\
h_{ij} &= e^a_i S_{ab} e^b_j \ , \\
b_{ij} &= e^a_i A_{ab} e^b_j \ , \\
E_{ab} &= S_{ab} + A_{ab} = \Big((S_0)^{ab} + (A_0)^{ab}\Big)^{-1} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

From the last equation in (2.21), important relations are derived

$$S_{ab}(S_0)^{bc} + A_{ab}(A_0)^{bc} = \delta^c_a \ , \ S_{ab}(A_0)^{bc} + A_{ab}(S_0)^{bc} = 0 \ . \tag{2.22}$$

They will be fundamental in the reduction of the effective action in the next section.

Similarly, by decomposing $(\tilde F, \tilde F^{(1)}, \tilde F^{(2)}, \tilde Q)$ as in (2.17,2.18), the backgrounds of the dual theory have the Kaluza-Klein reparametrization

$$\tilde G_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde h^{ij}\tilde V_{\mu i}\tilde V_{\nu j} & \tilde V_{\mu i}\tilde h^{ij} \\ \tilde V_{\mu i}\tilde h^{ij} & \tilde h^{ij} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$\tilde B_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde b_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde V_{\mu k}\tilde B^k_\nu - \tilde V_{\nu k}\tilde B^k_\mu) & \tilde B^i_\mu \\ -\tilde B^i_\mu & \tilde b^{ij} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde V_{\mu k} &= \Big[\tilde t^a_\mu (\tilde A_0)_{ab} - \tilde u_{\mu b}\Big](\tilde e^{-1})^b_k \ , \\
\tilde B^k_\mu &= -\Big[\tilde t^a_\mu (\tilde S_0)_{ab}\tilde S^{bc} + \tilde u_{\mu a}\tilde A^{ac}\Big]\tilde e^k_c \ , \\
\tilde h^{ij} &= \tilde e^i_a \tilde S^{ab}\tilde e^j_b \ , \\
\tilde b^{ij} &= \tilde e^i_a \tilde A^{ab}\tilde e^j_b \ , \\
\tilde E^{ab} &= \tilde S^{ab} + \tilde A^{ab} = \Big((\tilde S_0)_{ab} + (\tilde A_0)_{ab}\Big)^{-1} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Notice that the dual relations of (2.22) can be derived from the last equation of (2.25).

In this decomposition, the Poisson-Lie duality transformations take the following simple form

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\mu\nu} &= \tilde g_{\mu\nu} \ , \\
b_{\mu\nu} &= \tilde b_{\mu\nu} \ , \\
{u'}^a_\mu &= -\tilde t^a_\mu \ , \\
t_{\mu a} &= -\tilde u'_{\mu a} \ , \\
(S_0)^{ab} + (v_0)^{ab} &= \Big((\tilde S_0)_{ab} + (\tilde v_0)_{ab}\Big)^{-1} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
{u'}^a_\mu &= u^a_\mu - t_{\mu b}(v_0)^{ba} \ , \\
\tilde u'_{\mu a} &= \tilde u_{\mu a} - \tilde t^b_\mu (\tilde v_0)_{ba} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

The last equation of the Poisson-Lie duality relations (2.26) implies

$$(S_0)^{ab}(\tilde{S}_0)_{bc} + (v_0)^{ab}(\tilde{v}_0)_{bc} = \delta^a_c \ , \ (S_0)^{ab}(\tilde{v}_0)_{bc} + (v_0)^{ab}(\tilde{S}_0)_{bc} = 0\,. \tag{2.28}$$

Again, these relations will be useful in showing the invariance of the reduced string effective action under Poisson-Lie duality.

As is well known, the Kaluza-Klein reparametrization has other important advantages. Firstly, it enables one to calculate the inverse metric straightforwardly and, consequently, the scalar curvature. In our case, the inverse of G_{MN} is

$$G^{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} & -V^{\mu i} \\ -V^{\mu i} & h^{ij} + V^i_\mu V^{\mu j} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.29}$$

where Greek indices are raised and lowered with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ while latin indices are raised and lowered with h_{ij} whose inverse is h^{ij}. Secondly, the determinant of the metric G_{MN}, is simply given by

$$\det G_{MN} = \det g_{\mu\nu} \det h_{ij} \ . \tag{2.30}$$

These last two properties will be useful in the next sections.

In order to complete our decomposition of the backgrounds, we deal now with the reparametrization of the dilaton field. Recall that the dilaton in the original theory is given by $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \ln\det E$ and its counterpart in the dual theory is written as $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}_0 + \ln\det\tilde{E}$ with φ_0 and $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ related by $\varphi_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_0 + \ln\det Q$. Now, using the fundamental relations (2.22) one can shown that $ES_0 = S(E^{-1})^t$ where t denotes the transpose operation. As a consequence we have

$$\ln\det E = \frac{1}{2}\ln\det S - \frac{1}{2}\ln\det S_0 \tag{2.31}$$

It is convenient to use the following decompositions for the dilaton field

$$\varphi(x,y) = \psi(x,y) + \theta(x,y), \ \varphi_0(x) = \psi_0(x) + \theta_0(x) \ , \tag{2.32}$$

where $\theta = \frac{1}{2}\ln\det h_{ij}$ and $\theta_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\det S_0$. The dilaton relation from (2.11) then gives

$$\psi = \psi_0(x) - \ln\det e(y) \ . \tag{2.33}$$

Similarly, the dilaton in the dual theory is decomposed as

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x,y) = \tilde{\psi}(x,y) + \tilde{\theta}(x,y) \ , \ \tilde{\varphi}_0(x) = \tilde{\psi}_0(x) + \tilde{\theta}_0(x) \ , \tag{2.34}$$

where $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}\ln\det\tilde{h}^{ij}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ln\det\tilde{S}_0$. In the same way, the dilaton expresson in (2.9) leads to

$$\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}_0(x) - \ln\det\tilde{e}(y) \ . \tag{2.35}$$

Furthermore, from the fundamental relations (2.28), one shows that $Q\tilde{S}_0 = S_0\tilde{Q}^t$ which in turn yields

$$\ln\det Q = \frac{1}{2}\ln\det S_0 - \frac{1}{2}\ln\det\tilde{S}_0 \ . \tag{2.36}$$

Consequently, the expression $\varphi_0 = \tilde{\varphi}_0 + \ln\det Q$, gives

$$\psi_0 = \tilde{\psi}_0 \ . \tag{2.37}$$

All these relations will be our main tools in the next section.

3 String Effective Action

In this section, we deal with our main concern in this paper, namely the invariance under Poisson-Lie T-duality of the effective action of bosonic string theory. It is well known that the string effective action is connected to the two dimensional non-linear sigma model through the Weyl anomaly coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\beta}^G_{MN} &= R_{MN} + \nabla_M \partial_N \varphi - \frac{1}{4} H_{MPQ} H_N{}^{PQ} \ , \\
\bar{\beta}^B_{MN} &= -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^P H_{MNP} + \frac{1}{2} H_{MNP} \partial^P \varphi \ , \\
\bar{\beta}^\varphi &= -\frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 \varphi + \frac{1}{4} \partial_P \varphi \partial^P \varphi - \frac{1}{24} H_{MNP} H^{MNP} - \frac{\Lambda}{4} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where Λ is a cosmological constant which vanishes for critical strings. Our analysis applies also to non-critical strings, i.e. when Λ is different from zero. Hence, we will keep Λ throughout this paper. The Weyl anomaly coefficients (the beta functions) can be derived as the equations of motion of the string effective action

$$\Gamma[G, B, \varphi] = \int d^d x d^n y \sqrt{G} e^{-\varphi} L \ , \tag{3.2}$$

where L is given by

$$L = R + 2\nabla_M \partial^M \varphi + \partial_M \varphi \partial^N \varphi - \frac{1}{12} H_{MNP} H^{MNP} + \Lambda \quad . \tag{3.3}$$

In this expression, R is the scalar curvature of the metric G_{MN} and H_{MNP}, defined by $H_{MNP} = \partial_M B_{NP} + \partial_N B_{PM} + \partial_P B_{MN}$, is the torsion of the antisymmetric field B_{MN} while φ is the dilaton field. We have chosen, at this stage, to make an integration by parts in the dilatonic part of L. This will spare us later integrations by parts when showing the invariance of L under Poisson-Lie T-duality transformations. It is understood that a similar Lagrangian $\tilde{L}[\tilde{G}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{\varphi}]$ is defined for the backgrounds of the dual sigma model.

Let us first explain our strategy in reaching our goal: We start by reducing, with the help of the Kaluza-Klein decompositions of the previous section, the string effective action $\Gamma[G, B, \varphi]$ corresponding to the original sigma model. On the other hand, the reduction of the string effective action $\tilde{\Gamma}[\tilde{G}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{\varphi}]$ corresponding to the dual sigma model is obtained from that of $\Gamma[G, B, \varphi]$ by simply replacing untilded quantities by tilded ones and vice versa. This is due to our symmetric formulation of Poisson-Lie duality. Finally, the expressions of the reduced actions Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are compared using the Poisson-Lie duality relations (2.26).

The first result concerns the weight factor $\sqrt{G} e^{-\varphi}$ in the action (3.2). The different dilatonic properties of the previous section and the determinant property (2.30) lead to

$$\sqrt{G} e^{-\varphi} = \det e \sqrt{g} e^{-\psi_0} \ . \tag{3.4}$$

In this expression the dependence on y^i is only in $\det e$. Similarly, the weight factor in the string effective action corresponding to the dual theory, is given by

$$\sqrt{\tilde{G}} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}} = \det \tilde{e} \sqrt{g} e^{-\psi_0} \ . \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, the two integration measures in the two theories are equal up to the determinants of the vielbeins $\det e$ and $\det \tilde{e}$. We will show, in what follows, that L and $\tilde{L}$ are both independant of the coordinates y^i. As a consequence, the two effective actions Γ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are equal up to the volume elements $\int d^n y \det e$ and $\int d^n y \det \tilde{e}$.

With the help of the Kaluza-Klein decomposition of the metric and of the antisymmetric tensor given in the previous section we write explicitly the different contributions to L. First, the Kaluza-Klein decomposition of the metric G_{MN} yields the Ricci scalar [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
R(G) \;=\; & R(g) \\
& +\{h^{ik}h^{jl}\partial_i\partial_j h_{kl} - h^{ij}h^{kl}\partial_i\partial_j h_{kl} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}h^{ir}h^{jl}h^{ks}\partial_i h_{jk}\partial_l h_{rs} + \frac{3}{4}h^{il}h^{jr}h^{ks}\partial_i h_{jk}\partial_l h_{rs} \\
& -h^{ij}h^{kr}h^{ls}\partial_i h_{jk}\partial_l h_{rs} - \frac{1}{4}h^{il}h^{jk}h^{rs}\partial_i h_{jk}\partial_l h_{rs} + h^{ij}h^{kl}h^{rs}\partial_i h_{jk}\partial_l h_{rs}\} \\
& +g^{\mu\nu}\{(-h^{ij}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu h_{ij} + \frac{3}{4}h^{ik}h^{jl}\nabla_\mu h_{ij}\nabla_\nu h_{kl} - \frac{1}{4}h^{ij}h^{kl}\nabla_\mu h_{ij}\nabla_\nu h_{kl}) \\
& +(2\partial_i\nabla_\mu V^i_\nu + h^{ik}\partial_i V^j_\nu\nabla_\mu h_{jk} + 2h^{jk}V^i_\mu\nabla_\nu\partial_i h_{jk} + h^{jk}\partial_i h_{jk}\nabla_\mu V^i_\nu \\
& +h^{jk}\partial_i V^i_\mu\nabla_\nu h_{jk} - \frac{3}{2}h^{jl}h^{kr}V^i_\mu\nabla_\nu h_{lr}\partial_i h_{jk} + \frac{1}{2}h^{jk}h^{lr}V^i_\mu\nabla_\nu h_{lr}\partial_i h_{jk}) \\
& +(-2V^i_\mu\partial_i\partial_j V^j_\nu - \frac{1}{2}\partial_i V^j_\mu\partial_j V^i_\nu - \partial_i V^i_\mu\partial_j V^j_\nu - \frac{1}{2}h^{ik}h_{jl}\partial_i V^j_\mu\partial_k V^l_\nu \\
& -h^{il}V^k_\mu\partial_i V^j_\nu\partial_k h_{jl} - h^{kl}V^i_\mu\partial_i V^j_\nu\partial_j h_{kl} - h^{kl}V^j_\mu\partial_i V^i_\nu\partial_j h_{kl} \\
& -h^{kl}V^i_\mu V^j_\nu\partial_i\partial_j h_{kl} + \frac{3}{4}h^{jr}h^{ks}V^i_\mu V^l_\nu\partial_i h_{jk}\partial_l h_{rs} - \frac{1}{4}h^{jk}h^{rs}V^i_\mu V^l_\nu\partial_i h_{jk}\partial_l h_{rs})\} \\
& -\frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\lambda}h_{ij}(V^i_{\mu\nu} - F^i_{\mu\nu})(V^j_{\rho\lambda} - F^j_{\rho\lambda}) \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

with the definitions

$$V^i_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu V^i_\nu - \partial_\nu V^i_\mu \;\; , \quad F^i_{\mu\nu} = V^k_\mu\partial_k V^i_\nu - V^k_\nu\partial_k V^i_\mu \; . \qquad (3.7)$$

The terms have been assembled according to the number of factors of $g^{\mu\nu}$ and the number of factors of V^i_μ. This separation of terms will serve as a guide in our calculation. Since $g_{\mu\nu}$ is invariant under Poisson-Lie T-duality ($g_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$) then $R(g)$ is obviously invariant too.

Second, we develop the $H_{MNP}H^{MNP}$ term. Using the decomposition of G_{MN} in (2.29), it can be shown that

$$H_{MNP}H^{MNP} = (h_{\mu\nu\rho}h^{\mu\nu\rho}) + 3(h_{\mu\nu i}h^{\mu\nu i}) + 3(h_{\mu ij}h^{\mu ij}) + (h_{ijk}h^{ijk}) \qquad (3.8)$$

where we raise Greek indices with $g^{\mu\nu}$ and Latin indices with $h^{ij} = (e^{-1})^i_a S^{ab}(e^{-1})^j_b$ with S^{ab} the inverse of S_{ab}. Here the components of h_{MNP} are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{ijk} &= H_{ijk} \\
h_{\mu ij} &= H_{\mu ij} - V^k_\mu H_{kij} \\
h_{\mu\nu i} &= H_{\mu\nu i} - \{V^k_\mu H_{k\nu i} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)\} + V^k_\mu V^l_\nu H_{kli} \\
h_{\mu\nu\rho} &= H_{\mu\nu\rho} - \{V^k_\mu H_{k\nu\rho} + \mathbf{c.p.}\} + \{V^k_\mu V^l_\nu H_{kl\rho} + \mathbf{c.p.}\} - V^k_\mu V^l_\nu V^m_\rho H_{klm} \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where **c.p**. stands for cyclic permutations. Now, putting the decomposition (2.20) of the antisymmetric tensor B_{MN} into these expressions, one finds

$$h_{ijk} = \partial_i b_{jk} + \mathbf{c.p.} \tag{3.10}$$

$$h_{\mu ij} = (\partial_\mu b_{ij}) + (-V^k_\mu \partial_k b_{ij} + \{\partial_j B'_{\mu i} + (\partial_j V^k_\mu) b_{ki} - (i \leftrightarrow j)\}) \tag{3.11}$$

$$h_{\mu\nu i} = (B'_{\mu\nu i} + V^k_{\mu\nu} b_{ki}) + ([V^k_\mu B'_{i\nu k} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)] - \frac{1}{2}\partial_i U_{\mu\nu} - F^k_{\mu\nu} b_{ki}) \tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu\rho} &= (\partial_\rho b_{\mu\nu}) + (-V^k_\rho \partial_k b_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}(V^k_{\mu\rho} B'_{\nu k} + B'_{\mu\rho k} V^k_\nu) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(F^k_{\rho\mu} B'_{\nu k} + V^k_\rho V^l_\mu B'_{l\nu k}) + \mathbf{c.p.} \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{\mu i} &= B_{\mu i} - V^k_\mu b_{ki} \\ B'_{\mu\rho i} &= \partial_\mu B'_{\rho i} - \partial_\rho B'_{\mu i} \\ B'_{i\rho j} &= \partial_i B'_{\rho j} - \partial_j B'_{\rho i} \\ U_{\mu\nu} &= V^k_\mu B'_{\nu k} - V^k_\nu B'_{\mu k} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

The introduction of these new tensors is motivated by their simple expressions, as given in the appendix A, in terms of $(t, u, S_0, v_0, ...)$. In terms of these new tensors, we find

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{12} H_{MNP} H^{MNP} &= \{\frac{1}{2} h^{km} h^{il} h^{jn} \partial_k b_{ij} \partial_l b_{mn} - \frac{1}{4} h^{kl} h^{im} h^{jn} \partial_k b_{ij} \partial_l b_{mn}\} \\ &\quad + g^{\mu\nu} h^{im} h^{jn} \{-\frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu b_{ij} \partial_\nu b_{mn} + (\partial_\mu b_{ij} \partial_m B'_{\nu n} + \partial_\mu b_{ij} \partial_m V^k_\nu b_{kn} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu b_{ij} V^k_\nu \partial_k b_{mn}) + (-\frac{1}{4} V^k_\mu V^l_\nu \partial_k b_{ij} \partial_l b_{mn} - V^l_\mu \partial_l b_{ij} \partial_m V^k_\nu b_{kn} \\ &\quad - V^l_\mu \partial_l b_{mn} \partial_i B'_{\nu j} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_m V^l_\mu b_{ln} [\partial_i V^k_\nu b_{kj} - (i \leftrightarrow j)] \\ &\quad - \partial_m V^k_\mu b_{kn} [\partial_i B'_{\nu j} - (i \leftrightarrow j)] - \frac{1}{2}\partial_m B'_{\mu n} [\partial_i B'_{\nu j} - (i \leftrightarrow j)])\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\lambda} h^{ij} (h^{(1)}_{\mu\nu i} + h^{(2)}_{\mu\nu i})(h^{(1)}_{\rho\lambda j} + h^{(2)}_{\rho\lambda j}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} g^{\rho\gamma} h_{\mu\nu\rho} h_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where we have defined

$$h^{(1)}_{\mu\nu i} = B'_{\mu\nu i} + V^k_{\mu\nu} b_{ki} \ , \ h^{(2)}_{\mu\nu i} = [V^k_\mu B'_{i\nu k} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)] - \frac{1}{2}\partial_i U_{\mu\nu} - F^k_{\mu\nu} b_{ki} \ . \tag{3.16}$$

Again, the terms of (3.15) have been assembled according to the number of factors of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and "gauge fields" V^i_μ and $B'_{\mu i}$.

Finally, we turn our attention to the dilatonic part of L. Using the decompositions (2.29) and (2.32) , the dilatonic contribution to the original effective action is

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla_M \partial^M \varphi - \partial_M \varphi \partial^M \varphi &= G^{MN}[2\partial_M \partial_N \varphi - 2\Gamma^P_{MN} \partial_P \varphi - \partial_M \varphi \partial_N \varphi] \\ &= (2G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi - G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+4G^{\mu i}\partial_i\partial_\mu\psi - 2G^{MN}\Gamma^\lambda_{MN}\partial_\lambda\psi \\
&-2G^{\mu i}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_i\psi - 2G^{\mu M}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_M\theta) \\
&+2G^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\psi + 2G^{MN}\partial_M\partial_N\theta \\
&-2G^{MN}\Gamma^i_{MN}\partial_i\psi - 2G^{MN}\Gamma^P_{MN}\partial_P\theta \\
&-G^{ij}\partial_i\psi\partial_j\psi - 2G^{iM}\partial_i\psi\partial_M\theta \\
&-G^{MN}\partial_M\theta\partial_N\theta \ . \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following expressions for the Christoffel symbols are useful

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{MN}\Gamma^\lambda_{MN}(G) &= g^{\mu\nu}\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}(g) + g^{\lambda\alpha}[(-\frac{1}{2}h^{ij}\nabla_\alpha h_{ij}) + (\partial_i V^i_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}V^k_\alpha h^{ij}\partial_k h_{ij})] \qquad (3.18) \\
G^{MN}\Gamma^k_{MN}(G) &= (h^{ij}h^{kl}\partial_i h_{jl} - \frac{1}{2}h^{ik}h^{jl}\partial_i h_{jl}) + g^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_\alpha V^k_\beta + \frac{1}{2}V^k_\beta h^{ij}\nabla_\alpha h_{ij}) \\
&\quad + g^{\alpha\beta}[-\partial_i(V^i_\alpha V^k_\beta) - \frac{1}{2}V^i_\alpha V^k_\beta h^{jl}\partial_i h_{jl}] \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

Having listed the different contributions to L (and $\tilde{L}$) we are now in a position to perform the reduction of the string effective action Γ (and $\tilde{\Gamma}$). In comparing L and $\tilde{L}$, we notice that a contribution involving a given number of factors of $g^{\mu\nu}$ in L must be equal to the contribution from $\tilde{L}$ involving the same number of factors of $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$. This is due to $g^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$ under Poisson-Lie T-duality. Moreover, when closely examining the relations (2.21, 2.25, 2.26) we realize that the Poisson-Lie duality transformations when acting on a term involving n factors of V^i_μ and m factors of $B'_{\mu i}$ yield a sum of terms involving n' factors of V^i_μ and m' factors of $B'_{\mu i}$ such that $n + m = n' + m'$. These two facts enable us to separate the Lagrangian L into different parts, each with a given number of factors of $g^{\mu\nu}$ and a given order $n + m$ in the gauge fields V^i_μ and $B'_{\mu i}$. Each part, in this separation process must be invariant under Poisson-Lie T-duality, independently of the other parts. This decomposition of L is carried out explicitly in the appendix.

Here is an illustrative example concerning the dilatonic contribution to L. Recall that there is a Poisson-Lie T-duality invariant component in the dilaton, namely $\psi_0(x) = \tilde{\psi}_0(x)$. Therefore, equations (2.33,2.35) imply that $\partial_\mu\psi = \partial_\mu\tilde{\psi}$. Hence, the sum of terms involving $\partial_\mu\psi$ in L must be equal to the contribution in $\tilde{L}$ involving $\partial_\mu\tilde{\psi}$. In L, this sum is given by

$$
2G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\psi - G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi + 4G^{\mu i}\partial_i\partial_\mu\psi - 2G^{MN}\Gamma^\lambda_{MN}\partial_\lambda\psi - 2G^{\mu i}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_i\psi - 2G^{\mu M}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_M\theta \qquad (3.20)
$$

A similar expression, which is obvious to write down, holds for $\tilde{L}$. When comparing the two expressions, the first two terms are invariant under Poisson-Lie duality due to the invariance of $G^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}$ and $\partial_\mu\psi$. As $\psi(x, y) = \psi_0(x) - \ln\det e(y)$, the third term identically vanishes in L and $\tilde{L}$. Using the Kaluza-Klein decompositions, the three remaining terms give

$$
-2\partial_\mu\psi\{g^{\lambda\sigma}\Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\sigma}(g) + g^{\mu\lambda}[\partial_i V^i_\lambda - V^i_\lambda\partial_i\psi]\} \ . \qquad (3.21)
$$

The first term of this last expression is invariant due to the invariance of $g^{\mu\nu}$. Furthermore, we have

$$
V^k_\mu = [t_{\mu a}\Pi^{ab} - u'^b_\mu](e^{-1})_b^{\ k} \ . \qquad (3.22)
$$

This last equation together with (2.33) yield

$$
\partial_i V^i_\lambda - V^i_\lambda\partial_i\psi = f^b_{bc}(b(g)^{ca}t_{\lambda a} - u'^c_\lambda) - \tilde{f}^{bc}_b a(g)_c^{\ a} t_{\lambda a} \ , \qquad (3.23)
$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i \Pi^{ab} &= e^c_i [f^a_{cd}\Pi^{bd} - f^b_{cd}\Pi^{ad} + \tilde{f}^{ab}_c] \ , \\
f^a_{bc}\Pi^{bc} &= \tilde{f}^{ba}_b - \tilde{f}^{bc}_b a(g)_c{}^a + f^b_{bc} b(g)^{ca} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

These relations can be found from the definition (2.5) of Π^{ab} and by using the properties (2.4) of the bilinear product $<,>$ as shown in the appendix of [20]. It is clear that similar relations hold for the dual Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

To summarize, the comparison of the expression (3.20) and its corresponding counterpart comming from $\tilde{L}$ amounts to comparing (3.23) to its dual

$$\partial^i \tilde{V}_{\lambda i} - \tilde{V}_{\lambda i}\partial^i \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{f}^{bc}_b (\tilde{b}(\tilde{g})_{ca}\tilde{t}^a_\lambda - \tilde{u}'_{\lambda c}) - f^b_{bc}\tilde{a}(\tilde{g})^c{}_a \tilde{t}^a_\lambda \ , \tag{3.25}$$

Using the Poisson-Lie duality relations, this last expression transforms into

$$\partial^i \tilde{V}_{\lambda i} - \tilde{V}_{\lambda i}\partial^i \tilde{\psi} = \tilde{f}^{bc}_b (t_{\lambda c} - \tilde{b}(\tilde{g})_{ca} u'^a_\lambda) + f^b_{bc}\tilde{a}(\tilde{g})^c{}_a u'^a_\lambda \ , \tag{3.26}$$

The two expression (3.23) and (3.26) can be made equal if we impose that $f^a_{ab} = 0$ and $\tilde{f}^{ab}_a = 0$. This ensures the vanishing of these "anomalous" terms in L and $\tilde{L}$ and guaranties, therefore, the invariance under Poisson-Lie duality of the dilatonic part that we are examining. This simple criterion, as shown in appendix B, will be sufficent to eliminate all the other anomalous terms comming from L and $\tilde{L}$. Moreover, this is consistent with the results of [8], obtained by means of path integral considerations. This is also in agreement with the non-Abelian T-duality case [9].

The comparison of the other parts of L and $\tilde{L}$ is treated in the appendix. The general steps in dealing with each part can be descrided as follows: First, we search for cancellations between the terms in $R(G)$ and the dilatonic contribution. Then, we eliminate the derivatives of the vielbeins and the Π matrices using, respectively, the relations (2.15) and (3.24). Secondly, we eliminate as often as possible, the contributions involving Π matrices. This is carried out by means of the relation [20]

$$\Omega^{abc} + \mathbf{c.p.} = 0 \ , \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\Omega^{abc} = \tilde{f}^{ab}_d \Pi^{cd} - f^c_{ed}\Pi^{ea}\Pi^{db} \ . \tag{3.28}$$

Finally, the remaining terms are gathered in groups of expressions wich are invariant under Poisson-Lie T-duality transformations. Two crucial points must be mentioned here: The invariant terms are only functions of the x^μ coordinates. while the dependence on y^i is esclusively contained in the anomalous terms (the terms not invariant under Poisson-Lie duality). The latter vanish if we require that the structure constants of the two Lie algebras be traceless. Hence, after dropping the volume factors $\int d^n y \det e$ and $\int d^n y \det \tilde{e}$, we obtain the same expression for the original and dual string effective actions.

4 Weyl Anomaly Coefficients

Having established the invariance of the string effective action under Poisson-Lie duality transformations, we turn our attention now to the Weyl anomaly coefficients. At the one loop level and in the cases of Abelian [13] and non-Abelian [9] dualities, it has been shown that the following functional relation exists between the one loop Weyl anomaly coefficients of the original and dual sigma models:

$$\bar\beta^{(\tilde\omega)} = \sum_{\omega} \frac{\delta\tilde\omega}{\delta\omega} \bar\beta^{(\omega)} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

where ω designates the original backgrounds $(G_{MN}, B_{MN}, \varphi)$ and $\tilde\omega$ stands for the dual backgrounds $(\tilde G_{MN}, \tilde B_{MN}, \tilde\varphi)$. In the case of Poisson-Lie duality , however, the equivalent of the above relation is not yet known. Our aim here is to show that the previous relation holds for any non-linear sigma model admitting Poisson-Lie duality.

The results of the previous section can be recast as follows

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}[\tilde G, \tilde B, \tilde\varphi] = \chi \mathcal{L}[G, B, \varphi] \qquad \text{where } \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{G} e^{-\varphi} L \ \ , \ \ \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{\tilde G} e^{-\tilde\varphi} \tilde L \ , \ \ \chi = \frac{\det \tilde e}{\det e} \ . \tag{4.2}$$

The Weyl anomaly coefficients are related to the string effective action $\Gamma = \int d^d x d^n y \mathcal{L}$ by

$$\bar\beta^{(\omega)} = \sum_{\omega'} M_{\omega\omega'} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\omega'} \tag{4.3}$$

where the matrix M takes the form

$$M_{\omega\omega'} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{G} e^{-\varphi}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(G_{MP}G_{NQ} + G_{MQ}G_{NP}) & 0 & \frac{1}{2}G_{PQ} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(G_{MP}G_{NQ} - G_{MQ}G_{NP}) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}G_{MN} & 0 & \frac{1}{8}(D-2) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4.4}$$

Note that M is an invertible matrix. Of course the same relations hold for the dual background $\tilde\omega$ and we have

$$\bar\beta^{(\tilde\omega)} = \sum_{\tilde\omega'} M_{\tilde\omega\tilde\omega'} \frac{\delta\tilde\Gamma}{\delta\tilde\omega'} \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Using the first equality of (4.2) together with the chain rule we get

$$\bar\beta^{(\tilde\omega)} = \chi \sum_{\omega'} \sum_{\tilde\omega'} M_{\tilde\omega\tilde\omega'} \frac{\delta\omega'}{\delta\tilde\omega'} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\omega'} \ . \tag{4.6}$$

A crucial point in the following derivations is the invertibility of the matrix $\frac{\delta\omega'}{\delta\tilde\omega'}$, namely that the matrix $\frac{\delta\tilde\omega'}{\delta\omega'}$ exists. By explicitly calculating $\frac{\delta\tilde\omega'}{\delta\omega'}$, along the lines of [9] in the case of non-Abelian duality, we have checked that this is indeed the case. Using (4.3) into (4.1) yields

$$\bar\beta^{(\tilde\omega)} = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\omega'} \frac{\delta\tilde\omega}{\delta\omega} M_{\omega\omega'} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\omega'} \tag{4.7}$$

Comparing this last expression with (4.6) we obtain

$$\chi M_{\tilde\omega\tilde\omega'} = \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\omega'} \frac{\delta\tilde\omega}{\delta\omega} M_{\omega\omega'} \frac{\delta\tilde\omega'}{\delta\omega'} \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore, showing that the Weyl anomaly coefficients are related by $\bar\beta^{(\tilde\omega)} = \sum_\omega \frac{\delta\tilde\omega}{\delta\omega} \bar\beta^{(\omega)}$ amounts to showing that equation (4.8) holds.

An explicit computation as in ref.[9] leads to the following relations

$$M_{\lambda\lambda'} = \det e \sum_{\omega}\sum_{\omega'} \frac{\delta\lambda}{\delta\omega} M_{\omega\omega'} \frac{\delta\lambda'}{\delta\omega'} \ , \tag{4.9}$$

where λ denotes the background $((G_0)_{MN}, (B_0)_{MN}, \varphi_0)$. Similarly, we have

$$M_{\tilde\lambda\tilde\lambda'} = \sum_{\lambda}\sum_{\lambda'} \frac{\delta\tilde\lambda}{\delta\lambda} M_{\lambda\lambda'} \frac{\delta\tilde\lambda'}{\delta\lambda'} \ . \tag{4.10}$$

where $\tilde\lambda$ denotes the backgrounds $((\tilde G_0)_{MN}, (\tilde B_0)_{MN}, \tilde\varphi_0)$. Substituting, in this last equation, $M_{\lambda\lambda'}$ as in (4.9) and using the chain rule, we obtain

$$M_{\tilde\lambda\tilde\lambda'} = \det e \sum_{\omega}\sum_{\omega'} \frac{\delta\tilde\lambda}{\delta\omega} M_{\omega\omega'} \frac{\delta\tilde\lambda'}{\delta\omega'} \ . \tag{4.11}$$

Furthermore, explicit computations lead to

$$\det\tilde e \ M_{\tilde\omega\tilde\omega'} = \sum_{\tilde\lambda}\sum_{\tilde\lambda'} \frac{\delta\tilde\omega}{\delta\tilde\lambda} M_{\tilde\lambda\tilde\lambda'} \frac{\delta\tilde\omega'}{\delta\tilde\lambda'} \ , \tag{4.12}$$

Plugging equation (4.11) into this last expression and using chain rules, we obtain (4.8). This concludes the proof regarding the proportionality between the Weyl anomaly coefficients of the original sigma model and those of its Poisson-Lie dual.

5 Examples

In this section, we illustrate our analysis by two explicit examples. The first one concerns the original non-linear sigma model as given by the action

$$S = \int d^2\sigma \{ f(t)\partial t\bar\partial t + E_{ab}(t,g)(g^{-1}\partial g)^a (g^{-1}\bar\partial g)^b - \frac{1}{4} R^{(2)}\varphi(t) \} \tag{5.1}$$

where g is a group element corresponding to a three dimensional Lie algebra. This is taken to be of type Bianchi II.

The dual Lie algebra can be also chosen to be of type Bianchi II, as shown in [25]. The dual sigma model is of the same form as above and is described by

$$\tilde S = \int d^2\sigma \{ f(t)\partial t\bar\partial t + \tilde E^{ab}(t,\tilde g)(\tilde g^{-1}\partial\tilde g)_a (\tilde g^{-1}\bar\partial\tilde g)_b - \frac{1}{4} R^{(2)}\tilde\varphi(t) \} \ . \tag{5.2}$$

The non-vanishing commutation relations for the Lie algebras of the double are given by

$$[T_2, T_3] = T_1 \ , \quad [\tilde T^1, \tilde T^2] = \tilde T^3 \ , \tag{5.3}$$

$$[T_2, \tilde T^1] = -\tilde T^3 \ , \ [T_3, \tilde T^2] = -T_1 \ , \ [T_3, \tilde T^1] = T_2 + \tilde T^2 \ . \tag{5.4}$$

Choosing a parametrization such that $g = e^{xT_1}e^{yT_2}e^{zT_3}$ for the elements of the first group and $\tilde g = e^{x\tilde T^1}e^{y\tilde T^2}e^{z\tilde T^3}$ for the elements of the second group leads to the following matrices for Π and $\tilde\Pi$

$$\Pi^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -z & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \tilde\Pi_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x \\ 0 & x & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.5}$$

Similarly, the corresponding vielbeins are given by

$$e^a{}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \tilde{e}_a{}^i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.6}$$

For simplicity, we choose $Q^{ab} = h(t)\delta^{ab}$. This allows us to calculate the matrices $E_{ab} = (Q + \Pi)^{-1}$ and $\tilde{E}^{ab} = (\tilde{Q} + \tilde{\Pi})^{-1}$.

The original backgrounds and their duals are then computed using the relations of section 2. The metric in the original theory is found to be

$$G_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} f(t) & 0 \\ 0 & G_{ij} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$G_{ij} = \frac{1}{h\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} h^2 & zh^2 & 0 \\ zh^2 & (z^2+1)h^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta \end{pmatrix} , \tag{5.8}$$

Similarly, the antisymmetric tensor in the original sigma model is

$$B_{MN} = \frac{z}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{5.9}$$

with $\Delta = h^2 + z^2$. Notice that $b_{\mu\nu}$ is equal to zero in this example. Finally, the original dilaton is

$$\varphi = \psi_0(t) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(h/\Delta^2) . \tag{5.10}$$

On the other hand, the metric in the dual sigma model is given by

$$\tilde{G}_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} f(t) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{G}^{ij} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}^{ij} = \frac{h}{\tilde{\Delta}} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta} + y^2 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ y & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{5.12}$$

with $\tilde{\Delta} = 1 + x^2 h^2$. The dual antisymmetric tensor is

$$\tilde{B}_{MN} = \frac{xh^2}{\tilde{\Delta}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.13}$$

The dual dilaton is written as

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \psi_0(t) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(h^3/\tilde{\Delta}^2) . \tag{5.14}$$

We come now to the string effective actions corresponding to these two sigma models. Notice that in this example the determinants of the vielbeins are both equal to one. This implies an equality between the integration factors $\sqrt{G}e^{-\varphi}$ and $\sqrt{\tilde{G}}e^{-\tilde{\varphi}}$. They are both equal to $\sqrt{f(t)}e^{-\psi_0(t)}$. Finally, the two Lagrangians $L[G,B,\varphi]$ and $\tilde{L}[\tilde{G},\tilde{B},\tilde{\varphi}]$, yield the same expression

$$L = \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[h + \frac{1}{h} + \frac{3}{2}f^{-1}\left(\frac{\dot{h}}{h}\right)^2 + f^{-1}(2\dot{\psi}_0^2 - 4\ddot{\psi}_0)\right] \ . \tag{5.15}$$

Here, a dot stands for differentiation with respect to t.

One realizes that this expression is invariant under the transformation $h \to 1/h$ with f and ψ_0 kept unchanged. The expressions for the two Lagrangians do not depend on the y^i coordinates as expected from the general conclusions of section 3. Furthermore, there are no anomalous parts as the structure constants of Bianchi II Lie algebras are traceless $f^a_{ab} = \tilde{f}^{ab}_a = 0$. It is worth mentioning that we have carried out similar calculations based on this double but with more complicated choices for the tensor Q^{ab}. In each case, the conclusions reached in section 3 were confirmed.

The second example is chosen to illustrate how the presence of "anomalous" terms, resulting from the non-vanishing traces of the structure constants, breaks the proportionality between the original and dual string effective actions. For this purpose, we take the two sigma models, the original and its dual, to have the same form as in the first example (5.1,5.2). The only difference is that now the two Lie algebras of the double are Borel type of dimension two. A representation of this double (second paper of [7]) is

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \tilde{T}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \tilde{T}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{5.16}$$

The group elements are parametrized by $g = e^{xT_1}e^{yT_2}$ and $\tilde{g} = e^{x\tilde{T}^1}e^{y\tilde{T}^2}$. The matrices Π and $\tilde{\Pi}$ are as follows

$$\Pi^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ -y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \tilde{\Pi}_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ -y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{5.17}$$

and the vielbeins are

$$e^a{}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \tilde{e}_a{}^i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{5.18}$$

We choose also $Q^{ab} = h(t)\delta^{ab}$. The original backgrounds are listed below

$$G_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} f(t) & 0 \\ 0 & G_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{5.19}$$

where

$$G_{ij} = \frac{h}{\Delta'}\begin{pmatrix} 1+y^2 & y \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{5.20}$$

and

$$B_{MN} = \frac{y}{\Delta'}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

$$\varphi = \psi_0(t) + \ln(h/\Delta') \ , \tag{5.21}$$

where $\Delta' = h(t)^2 + y^2$. The dual backgrounds are obtained from these by simply replacing h by $1/h$. This is due to the equality between the matrices Π and $\tilde{\Pi}$ and the vielbeins e and $\tilde{e}$. As in the first example, the determinants of the vielbeins are equal to one. Hence, the integration factors in the two string effective actions are such that $\sqrt{G}e^{-\varphi} = \sqrt{\tilde{G}}e^{-\tilde{\varphi}} = \sqrt{f}e^{-\psi_0}$. The string effective Lagrangian corresponding to the original sigma model is found to be

$$L = -\left[2(h + \frac{1}{h}) + \frac{1}{2}f^{-1}\left(\frac{\dot{h}}{h}\right)^2 + f^{-1}(\dot{\psi}_0^2 - 2\ddot{\psi}_0) + \frac{8y^2}{h}\right] . \tag{5.22}$$

The dual string effective Lagrangian $\tilde{L}$ is found by replacing h by $1/h$ in this last expression. The last term, $-8y^2/h$, is not invariant under this transformation and therefore the two Lagrangians L and $\tilde{L}$ are not equal. This anomaly is due to the non-vanishing traces of the structure constants of the Borel double. In fact, this anomalous term can be recovered from the sum

$$(S_0^{-1})_{ad}[-f^a_{bc}\Pi^{bc}f^d_{ef}\Pi^{ef} + 2f^a_{bc}\Pi^{bc}\tilde{f}^{ed}_e + 2f^e_{bc}\Pi^{bc}f^a_{ef}\Pi^{fd} - 2f^e_{eb}\tilde{f}^{ba}_c\Pi^{cd} - 2\tilde{f}^{be}_e f^a_{cb}\Pi^{cd}] . \tag{5.23}$$

It can be shown, using (3.24), that this combination involves either f^a_{ab} or $\tilde{f}^{ab}_a$ which do not vanish for the Borel double.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have analyzed the quantum equivalence of sigma models related by Poisson-Lie T-duality transformations. Our results are obtained at the level of the one loop string effective action. An appropriate reparametrization à la Kaluza-Klein of the various string backgrounds has been used to give a simpler form to the Poisson-Lie duality transformations. As a consequence, it has been possible to cast the Lagrangian of the string effective action into independently Poisson-Lie duality invariant parts. On the other hand, the non-invariant terms have been shown to vanish if we impose the conditions $f^a_{ab} = 0$ and $\tilde{f}^{ab}_a = 0$ on the structure constants of the two Lie algebras of the Drinfeld double. These conditions are the same as those suggested in [8] in a path integral derivation of Poisson-Lie duality. Furthermore, we deduce a functional relation between the Weyl anomaly coefficients corresponding to two non-linear sigma model related by Poisson-Lie duality. In particular, a conformally invariant sigma model leads, under Poisson-Lie duality, to a dual theory with the same property.

When dealing with the anomalous terms resulting from the reduction of the string effective action one wonders whether the sufficient conditions that we have imposed (the vanishing of the traces of structure constant) are also necessary conditions. This is all the more a natural question since in [14] two sigma models related by Poisson-Lie duality have been shown to possess the same one loop beta functions. The equivalence holds in spite of a non-vanishing trace for one of the structure constants corresponding to one of the two Lie algebras forming the Drinfeld double. This is clearly in contradiction with our conclusions. The authors of ref.[14] use, however, a dilaton transformation which differs from ours. Their analysis is carried out in a strict field theory sense, regardless of the relationship between sigma models and string theory effective actions. The dilaton shift is precisely related to the diffeomorphism transformation that allowed them to conclude the equivalence of the two beta functions. The results of [14] can be interpreted in two different ways: Either it is a coincidence, due to the particularity of their model, that led to the possibility of absorbing the anomalous terms into their dilaton shift (which is the same as a diffeomorphism transformation), or this is symptomatic of a more general phenomenon. The problem of Poisson-Lie duality when some of the structure constants have non-vanishing traces, obviously, requires further investigation. For instance, it would be desirable to compute more physical quantities like the free energy in order to check the real equivalence, under Poisson-Lie duality, of two non-linear sigma models. Finally, we strongly believe that the dilaton transformation, given in here in the context of string theory, is the correct one. These are the only transformations which lead to a proportionality between the integration weights $\sqrt{G}e^{-\varphi}$ and $\sqrt{\tilde{G}}e^{-\tilde{\varphi}}$. This is an essential requirement in demanding the invariance under Poisson-Lie duality of the string effective action. The dilaton transformation under any T-duality is, nevertheless, a complex issue as shown in [26].

Another interesting question is the investigation of the invariance of the string effective action under a much larger group of dualities, where Poisson-Lie duality might be just a special case. In other words, one would like to find the equivalent of the $O(d, d)$ transformation group present in the case of string backgrounds having Abelian symmetries [15, 27]. This is suggested by our observation that the transformations of some intermediate backgrounds, introduced in order to simplify Poisson-Lie T-duality transformations, are precisely of the form of Abelian T-duality. Due to the complexity of the general expression of the string effective Lagrangian L, however, we are not able to make this possible hidden symmetry manifest.

A natural extension of our work would be to push the analysis beyond the leading order in loop expansion, as has been done for Abelian duality [16, 19]. The resemblence of Poisson-Lie duality and Abelian duality, as mentioned above, makes us think that a similar treatment along the lines of [16, 19] is possible. It is worth mentioning that there are two ways of dealing with duality transformations in general: The first consists in correcting the duality transformations order by order in the string perturbation parameter α' [16, 19]. In the second approach, however, one keeps the duality transformations as given by the one loop order and deforms, instead, the non-linear sigma model [28]. The equivalence of these two methods needs a closer examination.

Finally, our results could be of interest in cosmology models based on the string effective action. This is in the spirit of the ideas presented in [29]. The so-called pre-big-bang scenario takes, as a starting point, a string effective action where the backgrounds possess Abelian isometries. However, many of the interesting models in cosmology are based on metrics invariant under the action of non-Abelian isometries. The contruction of their duals under Poisson-Lie duality is therefore possible. This is one of the motivations of a recent work [25] where all the Drinfeld doubles based on Bianchi type Lie algebras are classified. We should mention that string cosmology requires the introduction of a Lagrangian for matter fields, which must be invariant under duality transformations. It has been shown in [30] that this is indeed possible if one chooses fundamental strings as gravitational sources. The role of Poisson-Lie duality in string cosmological is currently under investigation.

Aknowledgments: We would like to thank Janos Balog, Peter Forgács and Ian Jack for useful discussions.

A Useful Identities

Before dealing with the string effective Lagrangian L, we would like to list some of the relations that are essential in obtaining the reduced string effective action. First, we find it useful to introduce, in addition to the notations of the main text, the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\mu\nu a} &= \partial_\mu t_{\nu a} - \partial_\nu t_{\mu a} \ , && \text{(A.1)}\\
{u'}^{a}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu {u'}^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_\nu {u'}^{a}_{\mu} \ , && \text{(A.2)}\\
{u^{(1)}}^{b}_{\mu\nu} &= {u'}^{b}_{\mu\nu} + t_{\mu\nu a}(v_0)^{ab} \ , && \text{(A.3)}\\
{h'}^{c}_{\mu\nu} &= {u'}^{a}_{\mu}{u'}^{b}_{\nu} f^{c}_{ab} + (t_{\mu a}{u'}^{b}_{\nu} - t_{\nu a}{u'}^{b}_{\mu})\tilde{f}^{ac}_{b} \ , && \text{(A.4)}\\
{F'}_{\mu\nu d} &= t_{\mu a}t_{\nu b}\tilde{f}^{ab}_{d} - (t_{\mu a}{u'}^{b}_{\nu} - t_{\nu a}{u'}^{b}_{\mu}) f^{a}_{bd} \ , && \text{(A.5)}\\
{h''}^{c}_{\mu\nu} &= {h'}^{c}_{\mu\nu} - {F'}_{\mu\nu d}(v_0)^{dc} \ , && \text{(A.6)}\\
\alpha_{\mu\nu a} &= t_{\mu\nu a} - {F'}_{\mu\nu a} \ , && \text{(A.7)}\\
{\gamma'}^{a}_{\mu\nu} &= {u'}^{a}_{\mu\nu} + {h'}^{a}_{\mu\nu} \ . && \text{(A.8)}
\end{aligned}$$

The relations that we have employed are

$$\begin{aligned}
(e^{-1})^{m}_{a}\partial_m(e^{-1})^{i}_{b} - (e^{-1})^{m}_{b}\partial_m(e^{-1})^{i}_{a} &= f^{c}_{ab}(e^{-1})^{i}_{c} \ , && \text{(A.9)}\\
V^{k}_{\mu}(x,y) &= [t_{\mu a}(x)\Pi^{ab}(y) - {u'}^{b}_{\mu}(x)](e^{-1})^{k}_{b}(y) \ , && \text{(A.10)}\\
V^{k}_{\mu\nu}(x,y) &= [t_{\mu\nu a}(x)\Pi^{ab}(y) - {u'}^{b}_{\mu\nu}(x)](e^{-1})^{k}_{b}(y) \ , && \text{(A.11)}\\
{B'}_{\mu k}(x,y) &= -t_{\mu a}(x)e^{a}_{k}(y) \ , && \text{(A.12)}\\
{B'}_{\mu\nu k}(x,y) &= -t_{\mu\nu a}(x)e^{a}_{k}(y) \ , && \text{(A.13)}\\
{B'}_{i\mu j}(x,y) &= t_{\mu a} f^{a}_{bc} e^{b}_{i} e^{c}_{j} && \text{(A.14)}\\
&= [t_{\mu\nu a}(A_0)^{ab} - {u^{(1)}}^{b}_{\mu\nu}](e^{-1})^{k}_{b}(y) \ , && \text{(A.15)}\\
F^{i}_{\mu\nu} &= [{F'}_{\mu\nu d}(A_0)^{dc} + {h''}^{c}_{\mu\nu}](e^{-1})^{k}_{b}(y) \ , && \text{(A.16)}\\
h^{(1)}_{\mu\nu i} &= -[t_{\mu\nu a}(S_0)^{ab}S_{bc} + - {u^{(1)}}^{a}_{\mu\nu}A_{ac}]e^{c}_{i} \ , && \text{(A.17)}\\
h^{(2)}_{\mu\nu i} &= [{F'}_{\mu\nu a}(S_0)^{ab}S_{bc} - {h''}^{a}_{\mu\nu}A_{ac}]e^{c}_{i} \ . && \text{(A.18)}
\end{aligned}$$

B Reduction of the String Lagrangian

In this appendix, we will collect the terms in the original string effective Lagrangian $L = R - \frac{1}{12}H^2 + 2\nabla^2\varphi - (\nabla\varphi)^2$. These are grouped according to the number of factors of $g^{\mu\nu}$. This separation is vital as $g^{\mu\nu}$ is invariant under Poisson-Lie T-duality ($g^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$). Therefore, each of these terms must be independently invariant. The expression of the dual string Lagrangian $\tilde{L}$ is obtained from L by changing tilded quantities into untilded ones and vice versa. The Poisson-Lie duality transformations (2.26) are then used to show the equality between a given term in L, involving a given number of factors of $g^{\mu\nu}$, and its counterpart in $\tilde{L}$.

B.1 Order zero in $g^{\mu\nu}$

The terms without any factors of $g^{\mu\nu}$ give

$$\begin{aligned}
&-h^{ij}\partial_i\psi\partial_j\psi + 2\partial_i(h^{ij}\partial_j\psi) - \partial_i\partial_j h^{ij} + \frac{1}{2}h^{km}h^{il}h^{jn}(\partial_k h_{ij}\partial_l h_{mn} - \partial_k b_{ij}\partial_l b_{mn}) \\
&-\frac{1}{4}h^{kl}h^{im}h^{jn}(\partial_k h_{ij}\partial_l h_{mn} + \partial_k b_{ij}\partial_l b_{mn}) \ . \qquad \text{(B.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

After substituting for h_{ij}, b_{ij} and ψ together with the use of equations (3.27) to handle some of the terms involving Π, we find two main expressions. The first, invariant under Poisson-Lie T-duality, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\{f^c_{ad}\tilde{f}^{jk}_i(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{di}(S_0^{-1})_{ck}{v_0}^{ab}(S_0^{-1})_{bj}\} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\{f^i_{aj}f^k_{cl}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{jl}{v_0}^{ab}(S_0^{-1})_{bk}{v_0}^{cd}(S_0^{-1})_{di} + \tilde{f}^{aj}_i\tilde{f}^{cl}_k(S_0^{-1})_{jl}(\tilde{v}_0)_{ab}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{bk}(\tilde{v}_0)_{cd}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{di}\} \\
&-\frac{1}{4}\{\tilde{f}^{cd}_a\tilde{f}^{ij}_b(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{ab}(S_0^{-1})_{ci}(S_0^{-1})_{dj} + f^m_{ak}f^n_{ci}(S_0^{-1})_{mn}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{ac}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{ik}\} \\
&-\{f^a_{ab}f^c_{cd}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{bd} + \tilde{f}^{ab}_a\tilde{f}^{cd}_c(S_0^{-1})_{bd}\} - \frac{1}{2}\{f^a_{bc}f^b_{ad}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{cd} + \tilde{f}^{bc}_a\tilde{f}^{ad}_b(S_0^{-1})_{cd}\} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\{f^k_{ci}\tilde{f}^{lm}_a{v_0}^{ab}(S_0^{-1})_{bk}{v_0}^{cd}(S_0^{-1})_{dl}{v_0}^{ij}(S_0^{-1})_{jm}\} \\
&+\frac{3}{2}\{f^d_{mn}\tilde{f}^{mn}_c{v_0}^{ci}(S_0^{-1})_{id}\} - 2\{f^m_{mc}\tilde{f}^{nd}_n{v_0}^{ci}(S_0^{-1})_{id}\} - \{f^m_{nc}\tilde{f}^{nd}_m{v_0}^{ci}(S_0^{-1})_{id}\} \ . \qquad \text{(B.2)}
\end{aligned}$$

In this expression (and in the rest of this appendix), each contribution between curly brackets is equal to its dual counterpart. The second expression is an anomalous part (not invariant under Poisson-Lie duality) and can be cast in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&[2f^a_{bc}f^b_{di}\Pi^{di}{v_0}^{cj}(S_0^{-1})_{ja} + 2f^b_{bc}f^a_{di}\Pi^{di}{v_0}^{cj}(S_0^{-1})_{ja} + f^a_{ab}f^b_{cd}\Pi^{di}{v_0}^{cj}(S_0^{-1})_{ij}] \\
&+(S_0^{-1})_{mn}[-f^m_{bd}\Pi^{bd}f^n_{ij}\Pi^{ij} + 2f^m_{bd}\Pi^{bd}\tilde{f}^{cn}_c + 2f^b_{di}\Pi^{di}f^m_{bc}\Pi^{cn} - 2f^d_{db}\tilde{f}^{bm}_c\Pi^{cn} \\
&-2\tilde{f}^{db}_d f^m_{cb}\Pi^{cn}] \ . \qquad \text{(B.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that this contribution vanishes if we impose $f^a_{ab} = \tilde{f}^{ab}_a = 0$.

B.2 Order one in $g^{\mu\nu}$

There are three independently invariant contributions at this order in $g^{\mu\nu}$. The first one does not involve the gauge fields V^i_μ and $B'_{\mu i}$. The second contains one gauge field (either V^i_μ or $B'_{\mu i}$). The third depends on a combination of two gauge fields.

B.2.1 order zero in gauge fields

This order is equal to

$$-\frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}h^{im}h^{jn}(\partial_\mu h_{ij}\partial_\nu h_{mn} + \partial_\mu b_{ij}\partial_\nu b_{mn}) \qquad \text{(B.4)}$$

The following relations are needed for the reduction of this term

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial S_{ab} &= -\partial(S_0)^{cd}[S_{ac}S_{db} + A_{ac}A_{db}] - \partial(A_0)^{cd}[S_{ac}A_{db} + A_{ac}S_{db}] \qquad \text{(B.5)} \\
\partial A_{ab} &= -\partial(A_0)^{cd}[S_{ac}S_{db} + A_{ac}A_{db}] - \partial(S_0)^{cd}[S_{ac}A_{db} + A_{ac}S_{db}] \qquad \text{(B.6)}
\end{aligned}$$

where ∂ stands for either ∂_μ or ∂_i. This is a consequence of $(S+A)=(S_0+A_0)^{-1}$ combined with the properties

$$A_0(x,y)=v_0(x)+\Pi(y) \;\;\Rightarrow\;\; \partial_\mu A_0=\partial_\mu v_0 \tag{B.7}$$

After substituting for h_{ij} and b_{ij}, this zeroth order yields

$$-\frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}(S_0^{-1})_{ac}(S_0^{-1})_{bd}[\partial_\mu(S_0)^{ab}\partial_\nu(S_0)^{cd}+\partial_\mu(v_0)^{ab}\partial_\nu(v_0)^{cd}]\ . \tag{B.8}$$

We deduce that the equivalent expression coming from the dual Lagrangian is

$$-\frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{ac}(\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{bd}[\partial_\mu(\tilde{S}_0)_{ab}\partial_\nu(\tilde{S}_0)_{cd}+\partial_\mu(\tilde{v}_0)_{ab}\partial_\nu(\tilde{v}_0)_{cd}]\ . \tag{B.9}$$

It can be shown that equations (B.8) and (B.9) are identical upon using

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(S_0)^{ab} &= -\partial(\tilde{S}_0)_{cd}[(S_0)^{ac}(S_0)^{db}+(v_0)^{ac}(v_0)^{db}]\\
&\quad -\partial(\tilde{v}_0)_{cd}[(S_0)^{ac}(v_0)^{db}+(v_0)^{ac}(S_0)^{db}]\ ,\\
\partial(v_0)^{ab} &= -\partial(\tilde{v}_0)_{cd}[(S_0)^{ac}(S_0)^{db}+(v_0)^{ac}(v_0)^{db}]\\
&\quad -\partial(\tilde{S}_0)_{cd}[(S_0)^{ac}(v_0)^{db}+(v_0)^{ac}(S_0)^{db}]\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

These are obtained from $(S_0+v_0)=(\tilde{S}_0+\tilde{v}_0)^{-1}$.

B.2.2 order one in gauge fields

We find two expressions at this order. The first is

$$2g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu[\partial_i V^i_\nu - V^i_\nu\partial_i\psi]=2g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu[f^b_{bc}(b(g)^{ca}t_{\nu a}-u'^c_\nu)-\tilde{f}^{bc}_b a(g)_c{}^a t_{\nu a}]\ . \tag{B.11}$$

This is an anomalous contribution which vanishes when $f^a_{ab}=\tilde{f}^{ab}_a=0$. The remaining contribution to this order is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^{\mu\nu}[\frac{1}{2}h^{im}h^{jn}(\partial_\nu h_{ij}V^k_\mu\partial_k h_{mn}+\partial_\mu b_{ij}V^k_\nu\partial_k b_{mn})+h^{ik}\partial_\mu h_{kj}\partial_i V^j_\nu\\
&+h^{im}h^{jn}\partial_\mu b_{ij}(\partial_m B'_{\nu n}+\partial_m V^k_\nu b_{kn})]
\end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

In the reduction of this last expression, the terms proportional to Π and Π^2 have been eliminated through the use of $\Omega^{abc}+\mathbf{c}.\mathbf{p}.=0$. The final expression reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^{\mu\nu}\Big\{\frac{1}{2}u'^e_\mu\tilde{f}^{ad}_e(S_0^{-1})_{ab}\partial_\nu(v_0)^{bc}(S_0^{-1})_{cd}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}t_{\mu a}f^a_{cb}[-2\partial_\nu S_0{}^{cd}(S_0^{-1})_{de}v_0{}^{eb}+\partial_\nu v_0{}^{cb}+v_0{}^{cd}(S_0^{-1})_{de}\partial_\nu v_0{}^{ef}(S_0^{-1})_{fg}v_0{}^{gb}]\Big\}\\
&+g^{\mu\nu}\Big\{-t_{\mu a}\tilde{f}^{ab}_c[\partial_\nu S_0{}^{cd}(S_0^{-1})_{db}-v_0{}^{cd}(S_0^{-1})_{de}\partial_\nu v_0{}^{ef}(S_0^{-1})_{fb}]\\
&+u'^e_\mu f^a_{ef}[(S_0^{-1})_{ab}\partial_\nu v_0{}^{bc}(S_0^{-1})_{cd}v_0{}^{df}-(S_0^{-1})_{ab}\partial_\nu S_0{}^{bf}]\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

Here again each expression between curly brackets is equal to its dual counterpart.

B.2.3 order two in gauge fields

At this order, the first contribution is an anomalous one and is given by

$$- 2g^{\mu\nu}\partial_i[V^i_\mu(\partial_j V^j_\nu - V^j_\nu \partial_j \psi)] + g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_i V^i_\mu + V^i_\mu \partial_i \psi)(\partial_j V^j_\nu - V^j_\nu \partial_j \psi) \ . \tag{B.14}$$

Both of these terms contain $\partial_j V^j_\nu - V^j_\nu \partial_j \psi$ which has been already encountered. This part vanishes when $f^a_{ab} = \tilde{f}^{ab}_a = 0$. The second contribution is the invariant part coming from

$$- \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} h^{im} h^{jn} [F_{\mu ij} F_{\nu mn} + h^{(1)}{}_{\mu ij} h^{(1)}{}_{\nu mn}] \tag{B.15}$$

where we have introduced the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu ij} &= V^k_\mu \partial_k h_{ij} + \partial_i V^k_\mu h_{kj} + \partial_j V^k_\mu h_{ki} \ , \\ h^{(1)}_{\mu ij} &= V^k_\mu \partial_k b_{ij} + [\partial_i B'{}_{\mu j} + (\partial_i V^k_\mu) b_{kj} - (i \leftrightarrow j)] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

It can then be shown that the sum of these terms is equal to the following

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} \Big[\{ u'^n_\mu \tilde{f}^{ab}_n u'^i_\nu \tilde{f}^{cd}_i (S_0^{-1})_{ac} (S_0^{-1})_{bd} + t_{\mu e} f^e_{ab} t_{\nu f} f^f_{cd} (\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{ac} (\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{bd} \} \\ &+2 \{ \lambda^a_{\mu m} \lambda^c_{\nu i} (\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{mi} (S_0^{-1})_{ac} \} + 2 \{ \lambda^a_{\mu m} \lambda^d_{\nu i} (S_0^{-1})_{db} {v_0}^{bm} (S_0^{-1})_{ac} {v_0}^{ci} \} \\ &-2 \{ u'^i_\mu \tilde{f}^{jk}_i t_{\nu n} f^n_{ab} (S_0^{-1})_{kd} {v_0}^{db} (S_0^{-1})_{jc} {v_0}^{ca} \} \\ &+4 \{ t_{\mu p} f^p_{mn} \lambda^c_{\nu i} (\tilde{S}_0^{-1})^{in} (S_0^{-1})_{cd} {v_0}^{dm} - u'^p_\mu \tilde{f}^{ab}_p \lambda^c_{\nu i} (S_0^{-1})_{ac} (S_0^{-1})_{bd} {v_0}^{di} \} \\ &+2 \{ u'^c_\mu u'^d_\nu f^b_{ac} f^a_{bd} + t_{\mu c} t_{\nu d} \tilde{f}^{cb}_a \tilde{f}^{da}_b \} + 2 \{ t_{\mu d} u'^c_\nu \tilde{f}^{ab}_c f^d_{ab} \} + 4 \{ t_{\mu d} u'^c_\nu \tilde{f}^{ad}_b f^b_{ac} \} \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{B.17}$$

In this last expression $\lambda^a_{\mu c} = t_{\mu b} \tilde{f}^{ba}_c + u'^b_\mu f^a_{bc}$, and has the following property under Poisson-Lie duality: $\tilde{\lambda}^a_{\mu c} = -\lambda^a_{\mu c}$. We have checked that each quantity between curly brackets is invariant.

B.3 Order two in $g^{\mu\nu}$

Here we can treat at the same time orders two, three and four in the gauge fields. The contribution to L, at this order, is

$$-\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\lambda} \Big[h_{ij} (V^i_{\mu\nu} - F^i_{\mu\nu})(V^j_{\rho\lambda} - F^j_{\rho\lambda}) + h^{ij} (h^{(1)}_{\mu\nu i} + h^{(2)}_{\mu\nu i})(h^{(1)}_{\rho\lambda j} + h^{(2)}_{\rho\lambda j}) \Big] \ . \tag{B.18}$$

Using the relations in appendix A, one can show that this contribution reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\lambda} \Big[\{ \alpha_{\mu\nu a} \alpha_{\rho\lambda b} [(S_0)^{ab} - (A_0)^{ac} (S_0^{-1})_{cd} (A_0)^{db}] + \gamma'^a_{\mu\nu} \gamma'^b_{\rho\lambda} (S_0^{-1})_{ab} \} \\ &+ \{ \alpha_{\mu\nu a} (v_0)^{ab} (S_0^{-1})_{bc} \gamma'^c_{\rho\lambda} - \gamma'^a_{\mu\nu} (S_0^{-1})_{ab} (v_0)^{bc} \alpha_{\rho\lambda c} \} \Big] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

Each expression between curly brackets is invariant under Poisson-Lie duality. This is demonstrated using the duality transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha} = -\gamma' \quad &, \quad \tilde{\gamma'} = -\alpha \ , \\ S_0 - A_0 S_0^{-1} A_0 = \tilde{S}_0^{-1} \quad &, \quad v_0 S_0^{-1} = -\tilde{S}_0^{-1} \tilde{v}_0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

B.4 Order three in $g^{\mu\nu}$: invariance of $h_{\mu\nu\rho}$

This contribution comes from the term $h_{\mu\nu\rho}h^{\mu\nu\rho}$ in the expression of $H_{MNP}H^{MNP}$ in (3.8). We will show here that $h_{\mu\nu\rho} = \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu\rho}$. Recall that

$$h_{\mu\nu\rho} = (\partial_\rho b_{\mu\nu}) + (-V^k_\rho \partial_k b_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}(V^k_{\mu\rho}B'_{\nu k} + B'_{\mu\rho k}V^k_\nu) + \frac{1}{2}(F^k_{\rho\mu}B'_{\nu k} + V^k_\rho V^l_\mu B'_{l\nu k}) + \mathbf{c.p.} \tag{B.21}$$

Under Poisson-Lie duality $b_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{b}_{\mu\nu}$. Moreover, both $b_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tilde{b}_{\mu\nu}$ are independent of the coordinates y^i. Therefore, the first term is invariant and the second vanishes. The third term which, upon using using the relations in appendix A, gives

$$\frac{1}{2}(V^k_{\mu\rho}B'_{\nu k} + B'_{\mu\rho k}V^k_\nu) + \mathbf{c.p.} = \frac{1}{2}(t_{\mu\rho a}u'^a_\nu + u'^a_{\mu\rho}t_{\nu a}) + \mathbf{c.p.} \tag{B.22}$$

The duality transformations $t_{\mu a} = -\tilde{u}'_{\mu a}$ and $u'^a_\mu = -\tilde{t}^a_\mu$ clearly show that this combination is invariant. Finally, the fourth term reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(F^k_{\rho\mu}B'_{\nu k} + V^k_\rho V^l_\mu B'_{l\nu k}) + \mathbf{c.p.} \;\; = \;\; & \Big[\{(-u'^a_\mu u'^b_\nu t_{\rho c} f^c_{ab} + t_{\mu a}t_{\nu b}u'^c_\rho \tilde{f}^{ab}_c\} \\ & -t_{\mu a}t_{\nu b}t_{\rho c}\Omega^{abc}\Big] + \mathbf{c.p.}\end{aligned} \tag{B.23}$$

The first two terms are invariant under Poisson-Lie duality while the third one vanishes due to $\Omega^{abc} + \Omega^{bca} + \Omega^{cab} = 0$.

References

[1] T. H. Buscher, “A Symmetry Of The String Background Field Equations”, Phys. Lett. **B194** (1987) 59; “Path Integral Derivation Of Quantum Duality In Nonlinear Sigma Models”, Phys. Lett. **B201** (1988) 466.

[2] M. Roček and E. Verlinde, “Duality, quotients, and currents,” Nucl. Phys. B **373**, 630 (1992), hep-th/9110053.

[3] X. C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, “Duality symmetries from nonAbelian isometries in string theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **403**, 377 (1993), hep-th/9210021.

[4] A. Giveon and M. Rocek, “On nonAbelian duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **421**, 173 (1994), hep-th/9308154.

[5] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume and Y. Lozano, “A Canonical approach to duality transformations,” Phys. Lett. B **336**, 183 (1994), hep-th/9406206.

[6] T. Curtright and C. Zachos, “Currents, charges, and canonical structure of pseudodual chiral models,” Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5408 (1994), hep-th/9401006;
Y. Lozano, “NonAbelian duality and canonical transformations,” Phys. Lett. B **355**, 165 (1995) hep-th/9503045; “Duality and canonical transformations,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11**, 2893 (1996), hep-th/9610024.

[7] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, “Dual nonAbelian duality and the Drinfeld double,” Phys. Lett. B **351**, 455 (1995), hep-th/9502122;
C. Klimcik, “Poisson-Lie T-duality,” Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **46**, 116 (1996), hep-th/9509095;
C. Klimcik and P. Severa, “Poisson-Lie T-duality and Loop Groups of Drinfeld Doubles,” Phys. Lett. B **372**, 65 (1996), hep-th/9512040.

[8] E. Tyurin and R. von Unge, “Poisson-Lie T-Duality: the Path-Integral Derivation,” Phys. Lett. B **382**, 233 (1996), hep-th/9512025.

[9] A. Bossard and N. Mohammedi, “Non-Abelian duality in the string effective action,” Nucl. Phys. B **595**, 93 (2001), hep-th/0008062.

[10] M. Gasperini, R. Ricci and G. Veneziano, “A Problem with nonAbelian duality?,” Phys. Lett. B **319**, 438 (1993), hep-th/9308112.

[11] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume and Y. Lozano, “On nonAbelian duality”, Nucl. Phys. **B424** (1994) 155, hep-th/9403155.

[12] E. Tyurin, “On Conformal Properties of the Dualized Sigma Models”, Phys. Lett. **B348** (1995) 386, hep-th/9411242.

[13] P. E. Haagensen, “Duality Transformations Away From Conformal Points”, Phys. Lett. **B382** (1996) 356, hep-th/9604136;
P. E. Haagensen and K. Olsen, “T-duality and two-loop renormalization flows”, Nucl. Phys. **B504** (1997) 326, hep-th/9704157;

P. E. Haagensen, K. Olsen and R. Schiappa, "Two-loop beta functions without Feynman diagrams", Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 3573, hep-th/9705105;
P. E. Haagensen, "Duality and the renormalization group", hep-th/9708110.

[14] J. Balog, P. Forgács, N. Mohammedi, L. Palla and J. Schnittger, "On quantum T-duality in sigma models," Nucl. Phys. B **535**, 461 (1998) hep-th/9806068.

[15] J. Maharana and J.H. Schwarz, "Noncompact Symmetries in String Theory", Nucl. Phys. **B390** (1993) 3-32, hep-th/9207016.

[16] N. Kaloper and K.A. Meissner, "Duality Beyond the First Loop", Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 7940-7953, hep-th/9705193.

[17] N. Kaloper and R. C. Myers, "The O(dd) story of massive supergravity," JHEP **9905**, 010 (1999), hep-th/9901045.

[18] J. Balog, P. Forgács, Z. Horvath and L. Palla, "Perturbative quantum (in)equivalence of dual sigma models in two-dimensions," Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **49**, 16 (1996), hep-th/9601091;
J. Balog, P. Forgács, Z. Horvath and L. Palla, "Quantum corrections of Abelian duality transformations," Phys. Lett. B **388**, 121 (1996), hep-th/9606187;
L. K. Balázs, J. Balog, P. Forgács, N. Mohammedi, L. Palla and J. Schnittger, "Quantum equivalence of sigma models related by non Abelian duality transformations," Phys. Rev. D **57**, 3585 (1998), hep-th/9704137;
P. Casteill and G. Valent, "Quantum structure of T-dualized models with symmetry breaking," Nucl. Phys. B **591**, 491 (2000), hep-th/0006186.

[19] I. Jack and S. Parsons, "O(d,d) invariance at two and three loops," Phys. Rev. D **62**, 026003 (2000), hep-th/9911064;
S. Parsons, "T-duality and conformal invariance at two loops," Phys. Rev. D **61**, 086002 (2000), hep-th/9912105.

[20] K. Sfetsos, "Canonical equivalence of non-isometric sigma-models and Poisson-Lie T-duality," Nucl. Phys. B **517**, 549 (1998), hep-th/9710163.

[21] O. Alvarez, "Target space duality. I: General theory," Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 659 (2000), hep-th/0003177; "Target space duality. II: Applications," Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 682 (2000), hep-th/0003178.

[22] A. Y. Alekseev, C. Klimcik and A. A. Tseytlin, "Quantum Poisson-Lie T-duality and WZNW model," Nucl. Phys. B **458**, 430 (1996), hep-th/9509123.

[23] M. A. Lledo and V. S. Varadarajan, "SU(2) Poisson-Lie T duality," Lett. Math. Phys. **45**, 247 (1998), hep-th/9803175.

[24] K. Sfetsos, "Poisson-Lie T-duality beyond the classical level and the renormalization group," Phys. Lett. B **432**, 365 (1998), hep-th/9803019;
K. Sfetsos, "Duality-invariant class of two-dimensional field theories," Nucl. Phys. B **561**, 316 (1999), hep-th/9904188.

[25] M. A. Jafarizadeh and A. Rezaei-Aghdam, “Poisson-Lie T-duality and Bianchi type algebras,” Phys. Lett. B **458**, 477 (1999), hep-th/9903152.

[26] A. S. Schwarz and A. A. Tseytlin, “Dilaton shift under duality and torsion of elliptic complex”, Nucl. Phys. **B399** (1993) 691, hep-th/9210015;
J. De Jaegher, J. Raeymaekers, A. Sevrin and W. Troost, “Dilaton transformation under Abelian and non-Abelian T-duality in the path-integral approach”, Nucl. Phys. **B548** (1999) 563, hep-th/9812207.

[27] K.A. Meissner and G. Veneziano, “Manifestly $O(d,d)$ Invariant Approach to Space-Time Dependent String Vacua”, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6** (1991) 3397-3404, hep-th/9110004;

[28] G. Bonneau and P. Casteill, “Dualised sigma-models at the two-loop order,” hep-th/0103260.

[29] M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, “Pre - big bang in string cosmology”, Astropart. Phys. **1** (1993) 317, hep-th/9211021;
M. Gasperini, “Elementary introduction to pre-big bang cosmology and to the relic graviton background”, hep-th/9907067;
G. Veneziano, “String cosmology: The pre-big bang scenario”, hep-th/0002094.

[30] A. Nurmagambetov, “T-duality in the string theory effective action with a string source,” hep-th/0102109.